



LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.

7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

Tait Environmental Management, Inc.
701 N. Park Center Drive
Santa Ana, CA 92705
ATTN: Mr. Matt Hillman

May 1, 2007

SUBJECT: Boeing Realty Corp. Bldg C-1 Long Beach, Data Validation

Dear Mr. Hillman,

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fraction listed below. These SDGs were received on April 9, 2007. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

LDC Project # 16591:

<u>SDG #</u>	<u>Fraction</u>
IQC0980, IQC1776	Volatiles, Semivolatiles, TPH as Extractables, Hexavalent Chromium

The data validation was performed under Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3 guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, October 1999
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review, October 1999
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Stella S. Cuenco
Project Manager/Senior Chemist

LDC #16591 (Tait Environmental Management, Inc. / Boeing Realty Corp., Bldg C-1 Long Beach)

LDC	SDG#	DATE REC'D	(3) DATE DUE	VOA (8260B)		SVOA (8270C)		TPH-E (8015)		Cr(VI) (7196A)		Tier I		Tier II		Tier III		Tier IV		Tier V		Tier VI		Tier VII		Tier VIII		Tier IX		Tier X		Tier XI		Tier XII				
				W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S			
Matrix: Water/Soil																																						
A	IQC0980	04/11/07	05/02/07	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
A	IQC0980	04/11/07	05/02/07	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
A	IQC0980	04/11/07	05/02/07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
B	IQC1776	04/11/07	05/02/07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total				1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3

Shaded cells indicate Tier III validation (all other cells are Tier II validation). Sample counts do not include MS, MSD, or DUP's.

**Boeing Realty Corp., Bldg C-1 Long Beach
Data Validation Reports
LDC# 16591**

Volatiles

LDC

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Boeing Realty Corp., Bldg C-1 Long Beach

Collection Date: March 15, 2007

LDC Report Date: April 30, 2007

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: Tier 3

Laboratory: TestAmerica

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): IQC1776

Sample Identification

MW3017_WG031507_0001

Introduction

This data review covers one water sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8260B for Volatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for each individual compound and less than or equal to 30.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs).

In the case where %RSD was greater than 15.0%, the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compound. All coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

For the purposes of technical evaluation, all compounds were evaluated against the 30.0% (%RSD) National Functional Guideline criteria. Unless noted above, all compounds were within the validation criteria.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all volatile target compounds and system performance check compounds (SPCCs) were within method and validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	RRF (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
2/28/07	2-Butanone	0.037 (≥ 0.05)	All samples in SDG IQC1776	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs).

For the purposes of technical evaluation, all compounds were evaluated against the 25.0% (%D) National Functional Guideline criteria. Unless noted above, all compounds were within the validation criteria.

All of the continuing calibration RRF values were within method and validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	RRF (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
3/20/07	2-Butanone	0.040 (≥ 0.05)	All samples in SDG IQC1776	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No volatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analysis Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
7C20023-BLK1	3/20/07	Tetrahydrofuran	8.39 ug/L	All samples in SDG IQC1776

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>10X for common contaminants, >5X for other contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were not within QC limits. Since there were no associated samples, no data were qualified.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

LCS ID	Compound	%R (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
7C20023-BS1	2-Butanone 2-Hexanone	160 (40-140) 151 (45-140)	All samples in SDG IQC1776	J (all detects) J (all detects)	P

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was acceptable.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

XVII. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

**Boeing Realty Corp., Bldg C-1 Long Beach
 Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG IQC1776**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
IQC1776	MW3017_WG031507_0001	2-Butanone	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Initial calibration (RRF)
IQC1776	MW3017_WG031507_0001	2-Butanone	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Continuing calibration (RRF)
IQC1776	MW3017_WG031507_0001	2-Butanone 2-Hexanone	J (all detects) J (all detects)	P	Laboratory control samples (%R)

**Boeing Realty Corp., Bldg C-1 Long Beach
 Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG IQC1776**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

TAIT Environmental/Boeing
701 N. Parkcenter Drive
Santa Ana, CA 92705
Attention: Mehmet Pehlivan

Project ID: Boeing C-1 Long Beach
EM-2701
Report Number: IQC1776

Sampled: 03/15/07
Received: 03/15/07

VOLATILE ORGANICS by GC/MS (EPA 5030B/8260B)

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: IQC1776-04 (MW3017_WG031507_0001 - Water)									
Reporting Units: ug/l									
Benzene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.28	1.0	1.1	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Bromobenzene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.27	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Bromochloromethane	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.32	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Bromodichloromethane	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.30	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Bromoform	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.40	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Bromomethane	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.42	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
2-Butanone (MEK)	EPA 8260B	7C20023	3.8	5.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	L
n-Butylbenzene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.37	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
sec-Butylbenzene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.25	1.0	8.3	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
tert-Butylbenzene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.22	1.0	0.89	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	J
Carbon Disulfide	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.48	1.0	0.68	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	J
Carbon tetrachloride	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.28	0.50	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Chlorobenzene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.36	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Chloroethane	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.40	2.0	0.65	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	J
Chloroform	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.33	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Chloromethane	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.40	2.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
2-Chlorotoluene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.28	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
4-Chlorotoluene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.29	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.97	2.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Dibromochloromethane	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.28	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.40	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.37	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.32	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.35	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Dichlorodifluoromethane	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.79	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
1,2-Dichloroethane	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.28	0.50	1.2	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
1,1-Dichloroethane	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.27	1.0	2.6	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
1,1-Dichloroethene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.42	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.32	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.27	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
1,2-Dichloropropane	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.35	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
2,2-Dichloropropane	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.34	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.22	0.50	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
1,1-Dichloropropene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.28	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.32	0.50	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Ethylbenzene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.25	1.0	9.4	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Hexachlorobutadiene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.38	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
2-Hexanone	EPA 8260B	7C20023	2.6	6.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	L
Iodomethane	EPA 8260B	7C20023	1.0	2.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Isopropylbenzene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.25	1.0	8.8	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
p-Isopropyltoluene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.28	1.0	1.6	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	

TestAmerica - Irvine, CA
Nicholas Marz
Project Manager

The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.

10/4/07

IQC1776 <Page 9 of 37>

TestAmerica

ANALYTICAL TESTING CORPORATION

17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 Fax: (949) 260-3297

TAIT Environmental/Boeing
701 N. Parkcenter Drive
Santa Ana, CA 92705
Attention: Mehmet Pehlivan

Project ID: Boeing C-1 Long Beach
EM-2701
Report Number: IQC1776

Sampled: 03/15/07
Received: 03/15/07

VOLATILE ORGANICS by GC/MS (EPA 5030B/8260B)

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: IQC1776-04 (MW3017_WG031507_0001 - Water) - cont.									
Reporting Units: ug/l									
Methyl-tert-butyl Ether (MTBE)	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.32	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Methylene chloride	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.95	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	EPA 8260B	7C20023	3.5	5.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
n-Propylbenzene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.27	1.0	9.4	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Styrene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.16	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.27	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.24	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Tetrachloroethene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.32	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Tetrahydrofuran (THF)	EPA 8260B	7C20023	3.5	10	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Toluene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.36	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.30	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.48	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.30	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.30	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Trichloroethene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.26	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Trichlorofluoromethane	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.34	2.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.40	1.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.23	1.0	8.3	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.26	1.0	2.5	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Vinyl acetate	EPA 8260B	7C20023	1.7	6.0	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Vinyl chloride	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.30	0.50	ND	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Xylenes, Total	EPA 8260B	7C20023	0.90	1.0	1.9	1	03/20/07	03/20/07	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (80-120%)					111 %				
Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane (80-120%)					107 %				
Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120%)					107 %				

TestAmerica - Irvine, CA
Nicholas Marz
Project Manager

The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.

Ref 2007

IQC1776 <Page 10 of 37>

TestAmerica

ANALYTICAL TESTING CORPORATION

17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 Fax:(949) 260-3297

TAIT Environmental/Boeing
701 N. Parkcenter Drive
Santa Ana, CA 92705
Attention: Mehmet Pehlivan

Project ID: Boeing C-1 Long Beach
EM-2701
Report Number: IQC1776

Sampled: 03/15/07
Received: 03/15/07

VOLATILE ORGANICS by GC/MS (EPA 5030B/8260B)

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: IQC1776-04RE1 (MW3017_WG031507_0001 - Water) - cont.									
Reporting Units: ug/l									
Acetone	EPA 8260B	7C22010	4.5	10	ND	1	03/22/07	03/22/07	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (80-120%)					100 %				
Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane (80-120%)					96 %				
Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120%)					98 %				

TestAmerica - Irvine, CA
Nicholas Marz
Project Manager

The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.

03/24/07

IQC1776 <Page 11 of 37>

LDC #: 16591B1
 SDG #: IQC1776
 Laboratory: Test America

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Tier 3

Date: 4/25/07
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Volatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 3/15/07
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	SW	% RSD, r ² 20.990
IV.	Continuing calibration	SW	
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	MW3018_WG031507_0001
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SW	LCs
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	A	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	not reported
XIV.	System performance	A	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Water

1	2-F MW3017-WG031507_0001	11	1	7C20023-BLK1	21		31	
2	MW3017-WG031507_0001MS	12	2	7C22010-BLK1	22		32	
3	MW3017-WG031507_0001MSD	13			23		33	
4		14			24		34	
5		15			25		35	
6		16			26		36	
7		17			27		37	
8		18			28		38	
9		19			29		39	
10		20			30		40	

DC #: 16591 B)
 SDG #: pu cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: Volatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical Holding Times				
All technical holding times were met.	/			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	/			
II. BFBIS Instrument Performance Check				
Were the BFB performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	/			
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	/			
III. Initial Calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	/			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	/			
Was a curve fit used for evaluation?	/			
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of > 0.990?	/			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) ≤ 30% and relative response factors (RRF) > 0.05?		/		
IV. Continuing Calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	/			
Were all percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	/			
Were all percent differences (%D) ≤ 25% and relative response factors (RRF) ≥ 0.05?		/		
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	/			
Was a method blank analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each matrix and concentration?	/			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		/		
VI. Surrogate Spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	/			
If the percent recovery (%R) for one or more surrogates was out of QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm samples with %R outside of criteria?			/	
VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	/			
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	/			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?		/		
VIII. Laboratory Control Samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	/			

DC #: 16591B1
 SDG #: per cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Was an LCS analyzed per analytical batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
X. Internal Standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% or +100% of the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were retention times within + 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XI. Large Compound Identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within + 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XII. Compound Quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIII. Qualitatively Identified Compounds (IICS)				
Were the major ions (> 10 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within \pm 20% between the sample and the reference spectra?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
IV. System Performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Overall Assessment of Data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XVI. Field Duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
XVII. Field Blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

TARGET COMPOUND WORKSHEET

METHOD: VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

A. Chloromethane*	S. Trichloroethene	KK. Trichlorofluoromethane	CCC. tert-Butylbenzene	UUU. 1,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethane
B. Bromomethane	T. Dibromochloromethane	LL. Methyl-tert-butyl ether	DDD. 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	VVV. 4-Ethyltoluene
C. Vinyl chloride**	U. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	MM. 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	EEE. sec-Butylbenzene	WWW. Ethanol
D. Chloroethane	V. Benzene	NN. Methyl ethyl ketone	FFF. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	XXX. Di-isopropyl ether
E. Methylene chloride	W. trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	OO. 2,2-Dichloropropane	GGG. p-Isopropyltoluene	YYY. tert-Butanol
F. Acetone	X. Bromoform*	PP. Bromochloromethane	HHH. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ZZZ. tert-Butyl alcohol
G. Carbon disulfide	Y. 4-Methyl-2-pentanone	QQ. 1,1-Dichloropropane	lll. n-Butylbenzene	AAA. Ethyl tert-butyl ether
H. 1,1-Dichloroethene**	Z. 2-Hexanone	RR. Dibromomethane	JJJ. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	BBB. tert-Amyl methyl ether
I. 1,1-Dichloroethane*	AA. Tetrachloroethene	SS. 1,3-Dichloropropane	KKK. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	CCCC. 1-Chlorohexane
J. 1,2-Dichloroethene, total	BB. 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane*	TT. 1,2-Dibromoethane	LLL. Hexachlorobutadiene	DDDD. Isopropyl alcohol
K. Chloroform**	CC. Toluene**	UU. 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	MMM. Naphthalene	EEEE. Acetonitrile
L. 1,2-Dichloroethane	DD. Chlorobenzene*	VV. Isopropylbenzene	NNN. 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	FFFF. Acrolein
M. 2-Butanone	EE. Ethylbenzene**	WW. Bromobenzene	OOO. 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	GGGG. Acrylonitrile
N. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	FF. Styrene	XX. 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	PPP. trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	HHHH. 1,4-Dioxane
O. Carbon tetrachloride	GG. Xylenes, total	YY. n-Propylbenzene	QQQ. cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	llll. Isobutyl alcohol
P. Bromodichloromethane	HH. Vinyl acetate	ZZ. 2-Chlorotoluene	RRR. m,p-Xylenes	JJJJ. Methacrylonitrile
Q. 1,2-Dichloropropane**	II. 2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	AAA. 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	SSS. o-Xylene	KKKK. Propionitrile
R. cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	JJ. Dichlorodifluoromethane	BBB. 4-Chlorotoluene	TTT. 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	LLLL.

* = System performance check compounds (SPCC) for RRF ; ** = Calibration check compounds (CCC) for %RSD.

LDC #: 165918
 SDG #: 160176

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_i/C_i)/(A_s/C_s)$
 average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards
 $\%RSD = 100 * (S/X)$
 A_i = Area of compound,
 C_i = Concentration of compound,
 S = Standard deviation of the RRFs
 X = Mean of the RRFs
 A_s = Area of associated internal standard
 C_s = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				RRF (10 std)	RRF (10 std)	RRF (10 std)	Average RRF (Initial)	Average RRF (Initial)	%RSD	%RSD	
1	1CAL	2/28/07	Methylene chloride (1st internal standard)	0.436	0.436	0.474	0.474	7.78	7.78		
			Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)	0.314	0.314	0.331	0.331	5.92	5.92		
			Toluene (3rd internal standard)	0.269	0.269	0.281	0.281	5.18	5.18		
2			1,2-DCEB	1.306	1.306	1.314	1.314	10.24	10.24		
			Methylene chloride (1st internal standard)								
			Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)								
			Toluene (3rd internal standard)								
3	1CAL		Acetone Methylene chloride (1st internal standard)	0.169	0.169	0.179	0.179	22.59	22.59		
			Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)								
			Toluene (3rd internal standard)								
4			Methylene chloride (1st internal standard)								
			Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)								
			Toluene (3rd internal standard)								

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 165911B
 SDG #: for cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. RRF} - \text{RRF}) / \text{ave. RRF}$
 RRF = $(A_x)(C_x) / (A_s)(C_s)$
 Where: ave. RRF = Initial calibration average RRF
 RRF = continuing calibration RRF
 A_x = Area of compound, A_s = Area of associated internal standard
 C_x = Concentration of compound, C_s = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported		Recalculated	
					RRF (CC)	%D	RRF (CC)	%D
1	cen	3/20/07	Methylene chloride (1st internal standard)	0.474	0.492	3.8	0.492	3.8
	6.198M		Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)	0.331	0.339	2.4	0.339	2.4
			Tetrachloroethene (3rd internal standard)	0.281	0.281	0	0.281	0
2			1,2-DCB Methylene chloride (1st internal standard)	1.314	1.334	1.5	1.334	1.5
			Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)					
			Toluene (3rd internal standard)					
3	cen	3/22/07	Acetone Methylene chloride (1st internal standard)	0.179	0.207	15.6	0.207	15.6
	7:10 A.M.		Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)					
			Toluene (3rd internal standard)					
4			Methylene chloride (1st internal standard)					
			Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)					
			Toluene (3rd internal standard)					

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 16571B
 SDG #: see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: $SF/SS * 100$

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: #1

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Toluene-d8	25.0	26.85	107	107	6
Bromofluorobenzene	↓	27.67	111	111	↓
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4					
Dibromofluoromethane	↓	26.84	107	107	↓

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Toluene-d8					
Bromofluorobenzene					
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4					
Dibromofluoromethane					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Toluene-d8					
Bromofluorobenzene					
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4					
Dibromofluoromethane					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Toluene-d8					
Bromofluorobenzene					
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4					
Dibromofluoromethane					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Toluene-d8					
Bromofluorobenzene					
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4					
Dibromofluoromethane					

LDC #: 16591B
 SDG #: per cond

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: RA
 2nd Reviewer: RA

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SSC - SC) / SA$ Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration SC = Sample concentration
 SA = Spike added
 RPD = $1 MSC - MSDC | * 2 / (MSC + MSDC)$ MSC = Matrix spike percent recovery MSDC = Matrix spike duplicate percent recovery

MS/MSD sample: MW3018 - W4031507 - 0001

Compound	Spike Added (ug/L)		Sample Concentration (ug/L)	Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/L)		Matrix Spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		MS/MSD RPD	
	MS	MSD		MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
	1,1-Dichloroethene	25.0		25.0	ND	24.7	24.4	99	99	98	98
Trichloroethene	↓		ND	25.7	25.4	103	103	102	102	0	0
Benzene	25.0		0.94	27.9	27.7	108	108	107	107	1	1
Toluene	↓		ND	27.0	26.9	108	108	108	108	0	0
Chlorobenzene	↓		ND	27.6	27.3	110	110	109	109	1	1

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 16591B
 SDG #: per cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate (if applicable) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * \frac{SSC}{SA}$ Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration
 SA = Spike added
 RPD = $100 * \frac{LCS - LCSD}{LCS + LCSD}$ LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery
 LCS ID: LC20023 - B3

Compound	Spike Added (ug/L)		Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/L)		LCS		LCSD		LCS		LCSD		LCS/LCSD			
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Percent Recovery		Percent Recovery		Percent Recovery		Percent Recovery		Reported	Recalculated		
	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated		
1,1-Dichloroethene	25.0	NA	23.8	NA	95	95	106	106	110	110	109	109	111	111	NA	NA
Trichloroethene			26.4													
Benzene			27.4													
Toluene			27.2													
Chlorobenzene			27.7													

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

DC #: 16591B1
 SDG #: see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: R
 2nd reviewer: R

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

Y N N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
Y N N/A Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

- Concentration = $\frac{(A_x)(L)(DF)}{(A_s)(RRF)(V_s)(\%S)}$
- A_x = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured
 - A_s = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard
 - L = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)
 - RRF = Relative response factor of the calibration standard.
 - V_s = Volume or weight of sample pruged in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).
 - Df = Dilution factor.
 - %S = Percent solids, applicable to soils and solid matrices only.

Example:
 Sample I.D. #1 Benzene
 Conc. = $\frac{(698216)(25)}{(144023)(1.069)}$
 = 1.13 ug/L

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Qualification

**Boeing Realty Corp., Bldg C-1 Long Beach
Data Validation Reports
LDC# 16591**

Semivolatiles

LDC

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Boeing Realty Corp., Bldg C-1 Long Beach

Collection Date: March 15, 2007

LDC Report Date: April 30, 2007

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Validation Level: Tier 3

Laboratory: TestAmerica

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): IQC1776

Sample Identification

MW3017_WG031507_0001

Introduction

This data review covers one water sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8270C for Semivolatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified a P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for each individual compound and less than or equal to 30.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs).

In the case where %RSD was greater than 15.0%, the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compound. All coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

For the purposes of technical evaluation, all compounds were evaluated against the 30.0% (%RSD) National Functional Guideline criteria. Unless noted above, all compounds were within the validation criteria.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all semivolatile target compounds and system performance check compounds (SPCCs) were greater than or equal to 0.05 as required.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs).

For the purposes of technical evaluation, all compounds were evaluated against the 25.0% (%D) National Functional Guideline criteria. Unless noted above, all compounds were within the validation criteria.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration RRF values were greater than or equal to 0.05 .

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

LCS ID (Associated Samples)	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
7C16066-LCS/D (All samples in SDG IQC1776)	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	29 (35-120)	-	34 (≤25)	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	34 (35-120)	-	27 (≤25)		
	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	35 (40-120)	-	31 (≤25)		
	Hexachlorobutadiene	37 (40-120)	-	32 (≤25)		
	Hexachloroethane	28 (35-120)	-	32 (≤25)		
	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	41 (45-120)	-	35 (≤20)		
	Acenaphthene	-	-	33 (≤20)		
	Acenaphthylene	-	-	30 (≤20)		
	Anthracene	-	-	23 (≤20)		
	Benzo(a)anthracene	-	-	24 (≤20)		
	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	-	-	23 (≤20)		
	Benzyl alcohol	-	-	33 (≤20)		
	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	-	-	32 (≤20)		
	Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	-	-	30 (≤20)		
	Bis(2-chloroisopropyl) ether	-	-	31 (≤20)		
	Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	-	-	25 (≤20)		
	4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether	-	-	29 (≤25)		
	Butylbenzylphthalate	-	-	26 (≤20)		
	4-Chloroaniline	-	-	32 (≤25)		
	2-Chloronaphthalene	-	-	32 (≤20)		
	4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	-	-	33 (≤25)		
	2-Chlorophenol	-	-	30 (≤25)		
	4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	-	-	33 (≤20)		
	Chrysene	-	-	28 (≤20)		
	Dibenzofuran	-	-	31 (≤20)		
	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	-	-	31 (≤25)		
	2,4-Dichlorophenol	-	-	33 (≤20)		
	2,4-Dimethylphenol	-	-	39 (≤25)		
	2,4-Dinitrophenol	-	-	26 (≤25)		
	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	-	-	24 (≤20)		
	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	-	-	30 (≤20)		
	Di-n-octylphthalate	-	-	30 (≤20)		
	Fluoranthene	-	-	21 (≤20)		
	Fluorene	-	-	34 (≤20)		
	Hexachlorobenzene	-	-	24 (≤20)		
	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	-	-	70 (≤30)		
	Isophorone	-	-	32 (≤20)		
	2-Methylnaphthalene	-	-	31 (≤20)		
	2-Methylphenol	-	-	33 (≤20)		
	4-Methylphenol	-	-	30 (≤20)		
	Naphthalene	-	-	29 (≤20)		
	2-Nitroaniline	-	-	32 (≤20)		
	3-Nitroaniline	-	-	30 (≤25)		
	4-Nitroaniline	-	-	27 (≤20)		
	Nitrobenzene	-	-	34 (≤25)		
	2-Nitrophenol	-	-	37 (≤25)		
	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	-	-	24 (≤20)		
	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	-	-	32 (≤20)		
	Phenanthrene	-	-	22 (≤20)		
	Phenol	-	-	29 (≤25)		
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	-	-	35 (≤30)			
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	-	-	30 (≤20)			
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene	-	-	27 (≤25)			

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was acceptable.

XV. Overall Assessment

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report if data has been qualified.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

XVII. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

**Boeing Realty Corp., Bldg C-1 Long Beach
Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG IQC1776**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
IQC1776	MW3017_WG031507_0001	1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene Hexachloroethane 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene Acenaphthene Acenaphthylene Anthracene Benzo(a)anthracene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Benzyl alcohol Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate 4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether Butylbenzylphthalate 4-Chloroaniline 2-Chloronaphthalene 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol 2-Chlorophenol 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether Chrysene Dibenzofuran 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine 2,4-Dichlorophenol 2,4-Dimethylphenol 2,4-Dinitrophenol 2,4-Dinitrotoluene 2,6-Dinitrotoluene Di-n-octylphthalate Fluoranthene Fluorene Hexachlorobenzene Hexachlorocyclopentadiene Isophorone 2-Methylnaphthalene 2-Methylphenol 4-Methylphenol Naphthalene 2-Nitroaniline 3-Nitroaniline 4-Nitroaniline Nitrobenzene 2-Nitrophenol N-Nitrosodiphenylamine N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine Phenanthrene Phenol 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol N-Nitrosodimethylamine 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Laboratory control samples (%R)(RPD)

**Boeing Realty Corp., Bldg C-1 Long Beach
Semivolatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG IQC1776**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

TestAmerica

ANALYTICAL TESTING CORPORATION

17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 Fax: (949) 260-3297

TAIT Environmental/Boeing
701 N. Parkcenter Drive
Santa Ana, CA 92705
Attention: Mehmet Pehlivan

Project ID: Boeing C-1 Long Beach
EM-2701
Report Number: IQC1776

Sampled: 03/15/07
Received: 03/15/07

SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS BY GC/MS (EPA 3520C/8270C)

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: IQC1776-04 (MW3017_WG031507_0001 - Water)									
Reporting Units: ug/l									
Acenaphthene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND UJ	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Acenaphthylene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Aniline	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.4	9.5	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Anthracene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND UJ	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Benzidine	EPA 8270C	7C16066	8.1	19	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Benzoic acid	EPA 8270C	7C16066	8.1	19	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Benzo(a)anthracene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND UJ	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND UJ	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.8	9.5	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Benzo(a)pyrene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Benzyl alcohol	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.4	19	ND UJ	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.4	9.5	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.4	9.5	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	EPA 8270C	7C16066	3.8	47	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.4	9.5	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Butyl benzyl phthalate	EPA 8270C	7C16066	3.8	19	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
4-Chloroaniline	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
2-Chloronaphthalene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	19	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
2-Chlorophenol	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Chrysene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND ✓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.8	19	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Dibenzofuran	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND UJ	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Di-n-butyl phthalate	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	19	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.8	9.5	ND UJ	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	L2
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.4	9.5	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	L2
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.8	9.5	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	L2
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.8	19	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
2,4-Dichlorophenol	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Diethyl phthalate	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
2,4-Dimethylphenol	EPA 8270C	7C16066	3.3	19	ND UJ	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Dimethyl phthalate	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EPA 8270C	7C16066	3.8	19	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
2,4-Dinitrophenol	EPA 8270C	7C16066	4.3	19	ND UJ	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Di-n-octyl phthalate	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	19	ND	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Fluoranthene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	

TestAmerica - Irvine, CA
Nicholas Marz
Project Manager

The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.

PLM 2/207

IQC1776 <Page 16 of 37>

TAIT Environmental/Boeing
701 N. Parkcenter Drive
Santa Ana, CA 92705
Attention: Mehmet Pehlivan

Project ID: Boeing C-1 Long Beach
EM-2701
Report Number: IQC1776

Sampled: 03/15/07
Received: 03/15/07

SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS BY GC/MS (EPA 3520C/8270C)

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: IQC1776-04 (MW3017_WG031507_0001 - Water) - cont.									
Reporting Units: ug/l									
Fluorene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND UJ	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Hexachlorobenzene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.4	9.5	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Hexachlorobutadiene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	3.3	9.5	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	L2
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	4.7	19	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	L2
Hexachloroethane	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.8	9.5	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.8	19	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Isophorone	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND UJ	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
2-Methylnaphthalene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	13 J	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
2-Methylphenol	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND UJ	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
4-Methylphenol	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Naphthalene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.4	9.5	16 J	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
2-Nitroaniline	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	19	ND UJ	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
3-Nitroaniline	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	19	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
4-Nitroaniline	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.4	19	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Nitrobenzene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.4	19	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
2-Nitrophenol	EPA 8270C	7C16066	3.3	9.5	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
4-Nitrophenol	EPA 8270C	7C16066	5.2	19	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND UJ	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.4	9.5	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	C
Pentachlorophenol	EPA 8270C	7C16066	3.3	19	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Phenanthrene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND UJ	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Phenol	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
Pyrene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	9.5	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.4	9.5	ND UJ	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	L2
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.8	19	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.8	19	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	EPA 8270C	7C16066	2.4	19	ND UJ	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene	EPA 8270C	7C16066	1.9	19	ND ↓	0.948	03/16/07	03/20/07	C
Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol (30-120%)					65 %				
Surrogate: Phenol-d6 (35-120%)					73 %				
Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol (40-120%)					83 %				
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 (40-120%)					79 %				
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl (45-120%)					67 %				
Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 (45-120%)					71 %				

TestAmerica - Irvine, CA
Nicholas Marz
Project Manager

The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.

Handwritten signature

LDC #: 16591B2
 SDG #: IQC1776
 Laboratory: Test America

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Tier 3

Date: 4/26/07
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	Δ	Sampling dates: 3/15/07
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	Δ	% PSD, r ² 20.990
IV.	Continuing calibration	Δ	ICV = 25
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	client specified
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SW	les IP
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	Δ	
XI.	Target compound identification	Δ	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	Δ	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	not reported
XIV.	System performance	Δ	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: [Signature]

1	MW3017-WG031507_0001	11	7C16066-BLK	21		31	
2		12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. DFTPP performance criteria				
Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of > 0.990?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) ≤ 30% and relative response factors (RRF) > 0.05?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) ≤ 25% and relative response factors (RRF) ≥ 0.05?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 16991B2
 SDG #: per cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 7 of 2
 Reviewer: B
 2nd Reviewer: A

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
X. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
XI. Internal Standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% or +100% of the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were retention times within + 30 seconds from the associated calibration standard?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XI. Large Compound Identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within + 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XII. Compound Quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 10 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within ± 20% between the sample and the reference spectra?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT. <i>Azobenzene</i>
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

LDC #: 10571B ✓
 SDG #: KR 0176

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: RP
 2nd Reviewer: K

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Y N N/A
 Y N N/A
 Were the LCS/LCSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		TC16066-LCS-D	D	29 (35-120)	()	()	All + P, K	J, N, J/P
			E	34 (35-120)	()	()		
			F	35 (40-120)	()	()		
			U	37 (40-120)	()	()		
			K	28 (35-120)	()	()		
			R	41 (45-120)	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		

TestAmerica

ANALYTICAL TESTING CORPORATION

17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 Fax: (949) 260-3297

TAIT Environmental/Boeing 701 N. Parkcenter Drive Santa Ana, CA 92705 Attention: Mehmet Pehlivan	Project ID: Boeing C-1 Long Beach EM-2701 Report Number: IQC1776	Sampled: 03/15/07 Received: 03/15/07
---	--	---

METHOD BLANK/QC DATA

SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS BY GC/MS (EPA 3520C/8270C)

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	MDL	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC %REC	Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Data Qualifiers
Batch: 7C16066 Extracted: 03/16/07											
Blank Analyzed: 03/19/2007 (7C16066-BLK1)											
Surrogate: Phenol-d6	13.6			ug/l	20.0		68	35-120			
Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol	13.2			ug/l	20.0		66	40-120			
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5	5.90			ug/l	10.0		59	40-120			
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	7.14			ug/l	10.0		71	45-120			
Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14	7.38			ug/l	10.0		74	45-120			
LCS Analyzed: 03/19/2007 (7C16066-BS1)											
Acenaphthene	64.6	10	2.0	ug/l	100		65	55-120			
Acenaphthylene	72.4	10	2.0	ug/l	100		72	60-120			
Aniline	78.3	10	2.5	ug/l	100		78	40-120			
Anthracene	72.1	10	2.0	ug/l	100		72	60-120			
Benzidine	147	20	8.5	ug/l	100		147	25-160			
Benzoic acid	31.7	20	8.5	ug/l	100		32	25-120			
Benzo(a)anthracene	71.5	10	2.0	ug/l	100		72	60-120			
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	82.5	10	2.0	ug/l	100		82	55-125			
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	82.9	10	2.0	ug/l	100		83	50-125			
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	98.0	10	3.0	ug/l	100		98	45-130			
Benzo(a)pyrene	88.8	10	2.0	ug/l	100		89	55-125			
Benzyl alcohol	61.3	20	2.5	ug/l	100		61	50-120			
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	62.3	10	2.0	ug/l	100		62	55-120			
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	54.6	10	2.5	ug/l	100		55	50-120			
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	55.2	10	2.5	ug/l	100		55	45-120			
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	69.2	50	4.0	ug/l	100		69	60-125			
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	66.5	10	2.5	ug/l	100		66	55-120			
Butyl benzyl phthalate	68.1	20	4.0	ug/l	100		68	50-125			
4-Chloroaniline	63.7	10	2.0	ug/l	100		64	50-120			
2-Chloronaphthalene	61.8	10	2.0	ug/l	100		62	55-120			
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	61.4	20	2.0	ug/l	100		61	55-120			
2-Chlorophenol	57.6	10	2.0	ug/l	100		58	45-120			
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	63.9	10	2.0	ug/l	100		64	60-120			
Chrysene	69.5	10	2.0	ug/l	100		70	60-120			
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	94.5	20	3.0	ug/l	100		94	50-135			
Dibenzofuran	64.0	10	2.0	ug/l	100		64	60-120			
Di-n-butyl phthalate	75.7	20	2.0	ug/l	100		76	55-125			
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	29.3	10	3.0	ug/l	100		29	35-120			L2
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	34.4	10	2.5	ug/l	100		34	35-120			L2

MNR1

TestAmerica - Irvine, CA
Nicholas Marz
Project Manager

The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.

IQC1776 <Page 31 of 37>

TAIT Environmental/Boeing
701 N. Parkcenter Drive
Santa Ana, CA 92705
Attention: Mehmet Pehlivan

Project ID: Boeing C-1 Long Beach
EM-2701
Report Number: IQC1776

Sampled: 03/15/07
Received: 03/15/07

METHOD BLANK/QC DATA

SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS BY GC/MS (EPA 3520C/8270C)

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	MDL	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Data Qualifiers
Batch: 7C16066 Extracted: 03/16/07										
LCS Analyzed: 03/19/2007 (7C16066-BS1)										
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	35.3	10	3.0	ug/l	100	35	40-120	F		MNR1 L2
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	68.8	20	3.0	ug/l	100	69	50-135			
2,4-Dichlorophenol	56.7	10	2.0	ug/l	100	57	50-120			
Diethyl phthalate	69.3	10	2.0	ug/l	100	69	50-120			
2,4-Dimethylphenol	45.8	20	3.5	ug/l	100	46	35-120			
Dimethyl phthalate	60.9	10	2.0	ug/l	100	61	25-120			
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	71.9	20	4.0	ug/l	100	72	40-120			
2,4-Dinitrophenol	68.7	20	4.5	ug/l	100	69	35-120			
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	74.4	10	2.0	ug/l	100	74	60-120			
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	67.9	10	2.0	ug/l	100	68	60-120			
Di-n-octyl phthalate	70.1	20	2.0	ug/l	100	70	60-130			
Fluoranthene	76.3	10	2.0	ug/l	100	76	55-120			
Fluorene	63.5	10	2.0	ug/l	100	64	60-120			
Hexachlorobenzene	69.1	10	2.5	ug/l	100	69	55-120			
Hexachlorobutadiene	36.9	10	3.5	ug/l	100	37	40-120	U		L2
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	34.2	20	5.0	ug/l	100	34	20-120			
Hexachloroethane	27.9	10	3.0	ug/l	100	28	35-120	K		L2
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	95.4	20	3.0	ug/l	100	95	45-135			
Isophorone	52.4	10	2.0	ug/l	100	52	50-120			
2-Methylnaphthalene	58.0	10	2.0	ug/l	100	58	50-120			
2-Methylphenol	59.6	10	2.0	ug/l	100	60	50-120			
4-Methylphenol	63.4	10	2.0	ug/l	100	63	45-120			
Naphthalene	55.7	10	2.5	ug/l	100	56	50-120			
2-Nitroaniline	66.6	20	2.0	ug/l	100	67	60-120			
3-Nitroaniline	82.9	20	2.0	ug/l	100	83	55-120			
4-Nitroaniline	85.9	20	2.5	ug/l	100	86	50-125			
Nitrobenzene	52.0	20	2.5	ug/l	100	52	50-120			
2-Nitrophenol	58.6	10	3.5	ug/l	100	59	45-120			
4-Nitrophenol	68.6	20	5.5	ug/l	100	69	40-120			
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	64.2	10	2.0	ug/l	100	64	55-120			
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	54.1	10	2.5	ug/l	100	54	45-120			
Pentachlorophenol	83.2	20	3.5	ug/l	100	83	45-125			
Phenanthrene	70.3	10	2.0	ug/l	100	70	60-120			
Phenol	58.2	10	2.0	ug/l	100	58	45-120			
Pyrene	67.8	10	2.0	ug/l	100	68	50-125			

TestAmerica - Irvine, CA
Nicholas Marz
Project Manager

The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.

IQC1776 <Page 32 of 37>

TestAmerica

ANALYTICAL TESTING CORPORATION

17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 Fax: (949) 260-3297

TAIT Environmental/Boeing
701 N. Parkcenter Drive
Santa Ana, CA 92705
Attention: Mehmet Pehlivan

Project ID: Boeing C-1 Long Beach
EM-2701
Report Number: IQC1776

Sampled: 03/15/07
Received: 03/15/07

METHOD BLANK/QC DATA

SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS BY GC/MS (EPA 3520C/8270C)

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	MDL	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC %REC	Limit	RPD	RPD Limit	Data Qualifiers
Batch: 7C16066 Extracted: 03/16/07											
LCS Analyzed: 03/19/2007 (7C16066-BS1)											
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	40.7	10	2.5	ug/l	100	41	45-120	R			MNRI L2
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	61.9	20	3.0	ug/l	100	62	50-120				
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	63.2	20	3.0	ug/l	100	63	50-120				
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	51.3	20	2.5	ug/l	100	51	40-120				
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene	64.0	20	2.0	ug/l	100	64	55-120				
Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol	10.9			ug/l	20.0	54	30-120				
Surrogate: Phenol-d6	11.4			ug/l	20.0	57	35-120				
Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol	14.1			ug/l	20.0	70	40-120				
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5	5.54			ug/l	10.0	55	40-120				
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	6.42			ug/l	10.0	64	45-120				
Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14	6.96			ug/l	10.0	70	45-120				
LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/19/2007 (7C16066-BSD1)											
Acenaphthene	90.2	10	2.0	ug/l	100	90	55-120	33	20	GG	R-7
Acenaphthylene	98.1	10	2.0	ug/l	100	98	60-120	30	20	DD	R-7
Aniline	82.9	10	2.5	ug/l	100	83	40-120	6	30		
Anthracene	90.8	10	2.0	ug/l	100	91	60-120	23	20	WW	R-7
Benzidine	149	20	8.5	ug/l	100	149	25-160	1	35		
Benzoic acid	32.5	20	8.5	ug/l	100	32	25-120	2	30		
Benzo(a)anthracene	90.6	10	2.0	ug/l	100	91	60-120	24	20	CCC	R-7
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	99.2	10	2.0	ug/l	100	99	55-125	18	25		
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	104	10	2.0	ug/l	100	104	50-125	23	20	HHH	R-7
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	117	10	3.0	ug/l	100	117	45-130	18	25		
Benzo(a)pyrene	108	10	2.0	ug/l	100	108	55-125	20	25		
Benzyl alcohol	85.6	20	2.5	ug/l	100	86	50-120	33	20	GGG	R-7
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	85.8	10	2.0	ug/l	100	86	55-120	32	20	P	R-7
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	74.2	10	2.5	ug/l	100	74	50-120	30	20	B	R-7
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	75.8	10	2.5	ug/l	100	76	45-120	31	20	MMM	R-7
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	89.2	50	4.0	ug/l	100	89	60-125	25	20	EEE	R-7
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	89.2	10	2.5	ug/l	100	89	55-120	29	25	RR	R-7
Butyl benzyl phthalate	88.2	20	4.0	ug/l	100	88	50-125	26	20	AAA	R-7
4-Chloroaniline	88.2	10	2.0	ug/l	100	88	50-120	32	25	T	R-7
2-Chloronaphthalene	85.1	10	2.0	ug/l	100	85	55-120	32	20	AA	R-7
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	85.6	20	2.0	ug/l	100	86	55-120	33	25	V	R-7
2-Chlorophenol	78.1	10	2.0	ug/l	100	78	45-120	30	25	C	R-7
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	89.0	10	2.0	ug/l	100	89	60-120	33	20	MM	R-7

TestAmerica - Irvine, CA
Nicholas Marz
Project Manager

The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.

IQC1776 <Page 33 of 37>

TestAmerica

ANALYTICAL TESTING CORPORATION

17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 Fax:(949) 260-3297

TAIT Environmental/Boeing
701 N. Parkcenter Drive
Santa Ana, CA 92705
Attention: Mehmet Pehlivan

Project ID: Boeing C-1 Long Beach
EM-2701
Report Number: IQC1776

Sampled: 03/15/07
Received: 03/15/07

METHOD BLANK/QC DATA

SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS BY GC/MS (EPA 3520C/8270C)

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	MDL	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC %REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Data Qualifiers
Batch: 7C16066 Extracted: 03/16/07										
LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/19/2007 (7C16066-BSD1)										
Chrysene	92.3	10	2.0	ug/l	100	92	60-120	28	20	PVD R-7
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	113	20	3.0	ug/l	100	113	50-135	18	25	
Dibenzofuran	87.7	10	2.0	ug/l	100	88	60-120	31	20	JJ R-7
Di-n-butyl phthalate	90.8	20	2.0	ug/l	100	91	55-125	18	20	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	41.4	10	3.0	ug/l	100	41	35-120	34	25	P- R-2
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	45.3	10	2.5	ug/l	100	45	35-120	27	25	E- R-2
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	48.2	10	3.0	ug/l	100	48	40-120	31	25	F- R-2
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	93.8	20	3.0	ug/l	100	94	50-135	31	25	BBB R-7
2,4-Dichlorophenol	79.1	10	2.0	ug/l	100	79	50-120	33	20	GG R-7
Diethyl phthalate	88.0	10	2.0	ug/l	100	88	50-120	24	30	
2,4-Dimethylphenol	67.9	20	3.5	ug/l	100	68	35-120	39	25	0 R-7
Dimethyl phthalate	79.9	10	2.0	ug/l	100	80	25-120	27	30	
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	89.2	20	4.0	ug/l	100	89	40-120	21	25	
2,4-Dinitrophenol	89.6	20	4.5	ug/l	100	90	35-120	26	25	HH R-7
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	94.7	10	2.0	ug/l	100	95	60-120	24	20	KK R-7
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	91.8	10	2.0	ug/l	100	92	60-120	30	20	EE R-7
Di-n-octyl phthalate	94.5	20	2.0	ug/l	100	94	60-130	30	20	FFF R-7
Fluoranthene	94.1	10	2.0	ug/l	100	94	55-120	21	20	YY R-7
Fluorene	89.1	10	2.0	ug/l	100	89	60-120	34	20	NN R-7
Hexachlorobenzene	88.1	10	2.5	ug/l	100	88	55-120	24	20	SS R-7
Hexachlorobutadiene	50.8	10	3.5	ug/l	100	51	40-120	32	25	U- R-2
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	71.2	20	5.0	ug/l	100	71	20-120	70	30	X R-7
Hexachloroethane	38.6	10	3.0	ug/l	100	39	35-120	32	25	K- R-2
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	113	20	3.0	ug/l	100	113	45-135	17	25	JJ
Isophorone	72.0	10	2.0	ug/l	100	72	50-120	32	20	M R-7
2-Methylnaphthalene	79.6	10	2.0	ug/l	100	80	50-120	31	20	W R-7
2-Methylphenol	82.8	10	2.0	ug/l	100	83	50-120	33	20	G R-7
4-Methylphenol	85.5	10	2.0	ug/l	100	86	45-120	30	20	I R-7
Naphthalene	74.9	10	2.5	ug/l	100	75	50-120	29	20	S R-7
2-Nitroaniline	92.3	20	2.0	ug/l	100	92	60-120	32	20	BB R-7
3-Nitroaniline	112	20	2.0	ug/l	100	112	55-120	30	25	FF R-7
4-Nitroaniline	113	20	2.5	ug/l	100	113	50-125	27	20	00 R-7
Nitrobenzene	73.2	20	2.5	ug/l	100	73	50-120	34	25	L R-7
2-Nitrophenol	85.2	10	3.5	ug/l	100	85	45-120	37	25	N R-7
4-Nitrophenol	90.2	20	5.5	ug/l	100	90	40-120	37	30	

TestAmerica - Irvine, CA
Nicholas Marz
Project Manager

The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.

IQC1776 <Page 34 of 37>

TAIT Environmental/Boeing
701 N. Parkcenter Drive
Santa Ana, CA 92705
Attention: Mehmet Pehlivan

Project ID: Boeing C-1 Long Beach
EM-2701
Report Number: IQC1776

Sampled: 03/15/07
Received: 03/15/07

METHOD BLANK/QC DATA

SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS BY GC/MS (EPA 3520C/8270C)

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	MDL	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Data Qualifiers
Batch: 7C16066 Extracted: 03/16/07										
LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/19/2007 (7C16066-BSD1)										
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	81.3	10	2.0	ug/l	100	81	55-120	24	20	QQ R-7
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	74.7	10	2.5	ug/l	100	75	45-120	32	20	J R-7
Pentachlorophenol	106	20	3.5	ug/l	100	106	45-125	24	25	
Phenanthrene	87.3	10	2.0	ug/l	100	87	60-120	22	20	UU R-7
Phenol	78.3	10	2.0	ug/l	100	78	45-120	29	25	A R-7
Pyrene	83.6	10	2.0	ug/l	100	84	50-125	21	25	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	58.0	10	2.5	ug/l	100	58	45-120	35	20	R- R-2
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	88.2	20	3.0	ug/l	100	88	50-120	35	30	Z R-7
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	84.6	20	3.0	ug/l	100	85	50-120	29	30	
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	69.6	20	2.5	ug/l	100	70	40-120	30	20	ooo R-7
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene	84.3	20	2.0	ug/l	100	84	55-120	27	25	R-7
Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol	14.9			ug/l	20.0	74	30-120			
Surrogate: Phenol-d6	15.9			ug/l	20.0	80	35-120			
Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol	19.0			ug/l	20.0	95	40-120			
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5	7.64			ug/l	10.0	76	40-120			
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	8.82			ug/l	10.0	88	45-120			
Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14	8.86			ug/l	10.0	89	45-120			

TestAmerica - Irvine, CA
Nicholas Marz
Project Manager

The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.

IQC1776 <Page 35 of 37>

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_x)(C_s)/(A_s)(C_x)$ A_x = Area of compound, A_s = Area of associated internal standard
 average RRF = sum of the RRF's/number of standards C_x = Concentration of compound, C_s = Concentration of internal standard
 $\%RSD = 100 * (S/X)$ S = Standard deviation of the RRFs, X = Mean of the RRFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				RRF (% std)	(% std)	RRF (% std)	(% std)	Average RRF (Initial)	%RSD	Average RRF (Initial)	%RSD
1	KAL-8	3/3/07	Phenol (1st internal standard)	7.111	7.111	2.125	2.125	4.01	4.01	2.125	4.01
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	1.036	1.036	1.030	1.030	5.90	5.90	1.030	5.90
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)	1.321	1.321	1.268	1.268	9.44	9.44	1.268	9.44
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	1.180	1.093	1.059	1.059	7.16	7.16	1.059	7.16
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.396	1.396	1.308	1.308	3.67	3.67	1.308	3.67
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.205	1.205	1.192	1.192	8.89	8.89	1.192	8.89
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 16591B2
 SDG #: KCOVey

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. RRF} - \text{RRF}) / \text{ave. RRF}$ Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
 RRF = $(A_x)(C_b) / (A_b)(C_x)$ RRF = continuing calibration RRF
 A_x = Area of compound, A_b = Area of associated internal standard
 C_x = Concentration of compound, C_b = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported		Recalculated	
					RRF (CC)	%D	RRF (CC)	%D
1	cen	3/20/07	Phenol (1st internal standard)	2.125	2.072	2.5	2.072	2.5
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	1.030	1.092	6.0	1.092	6.0
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)	1.268	1.323	4.3	1.323	4.3
			Anthracene Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	1.059	1.117	5.5	1.117	5.5
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.308	1.392	6.4	1.392	6.4
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.192	1.192	0.0	1.192	0.0
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 16591B2
 SDG #: see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: #1

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5	5	3.95	79	79	0
2-Fluorobiphenyl	↓	3.37	67	67	↓
Terphenyl-d14	↓	3.56	71	71	↓
Phenol-d5	10	7.29	73	73	↓
2-Fluorophenol	↓	6.49	65	65	↓
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	↓	8.30	83	83	↓
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SC/SA)$ Where: SSC = Spike concentration
 SA = Spike added

RPD = $1 LCS - LCSD | * 2 / (LCS + LCSD)$

LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery
 LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: 7c16066 - LCS 1D

Compound	Spike Added (ug/l)		Spike Concentration (ug/l)		LCS		LCSD		Percent Recovery		Percent Recovery		RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Phenol	100		58.2	78.3	58	50	78	78	29	29				
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine			54.1	74.7	54	54	75	75	32	32				
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol			61.4	85.6	61	61	86	86	33	33				
Acenaphthene			64.6	90.2	65	65	90	90	33	33				
Pentachlorophenol			83.2	106	83	83	106	106	24	24				
Pyrene			67.8	83.6	68	60	84	84	21	21				

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 16591B2
 SDG #: pu cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Y N N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
Y N N/A Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(A_s)(I_s)(V_i)(DF)(2.0)}{(A_r)(RRF)(V_r)(V_i)(\%S)}$$

- A_s = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured
- A_r = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard
- I_s = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)
- V_r = Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).
- V_i = Volume of extract injected in microliters (ul)
- V_s = Volume of the concentrated extract in microliters (ul)
- Df = Dilution Factor.
- %S = Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices only.
- 2.0 = Factor of 2 to account for GPC cleanup

Example:

Sample I.D. F1 Naphthalene

$$\text{Conc.} = \frac{(365945) (40) (2) (1000)}{(1654509) (1.030) (1055)} = 16 \text{ ug/L}$$

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Qualification

**Boeing Realty Corp., Bldg C-1 Long Beach
Data Validation Reports
LDC# 16591**

Hexavalent Chromium

LDC

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Boeing Realty Corp., Bldg C-1 Long Beach
Collection Date: March 8, 2007
LDC Report Date: April 26, 2007
Matrix: Water
Parameters: Hexavalent chromium
Validation Level: Tier 1, 2, & 3
Laboratory: TestAmerica

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): IQC0980

Sample Identification

MW3009_WG030807_0001
MW3012_WG030807_0001*
MW3012_WG030807_0002**

*Indicates sample underwent Tier 2 review
**Indicates sample underwent Tier 3 review
All other samples underwent Tier 1 review

Introduction

This data review covers 3 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 7196A for Hexavalent chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Tier 3 review. A Tier 2 or Tier 1 review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Tier 2 or Tier 1 criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration of each method were met.

Initial calibration data were not reviewed for Tier I.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

Calibration verification data were not reviewed for Tier I.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Tier 3 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Tier 2 or Tier 1 criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples were identified as field duplicates. No contaminant concentrations were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Analyte	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD
	MW3012_WG030807_0001*	MW3012_WG030807_0002**	
Hexavalent chromium	1.3	0.98	28

X. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

**Boeing Realty Corp., Bldg C-1 Long Beach
Hexavalent chromium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG IQC0980**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Boeing Realty Corp., Bldg C-1 Long Beach
Hexavalent chromium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG
IQC0980**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

TAIT Environmental/Boeing
701 N. Parkcenter Drive
Santa Ana, CA 92705
Attention: Mehmet Pehlivan

Project ID: Boeing C-1 Long Beach
EM-2701-05
Report Number: IQC0980

Sampled: 03/08/07
Received: 03/08/07

INORGANICS

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: IQC0980-01 (TB_TAIT030807_0001 - Water)					Sampled: 03/08/07				
Reporting Units: ug/l									
Chromium VI	EPA 7196A	7C08171	0.65	25	ND	1	03/08/07	03/08/07	
Sample ID: IQC0980-02 (MW3009_WG030807_0001 - Water)					Sampled: 03/08/07				
Reporting Units: ug/l									
Chromium VI	EPA 7196A	7C08171	0.65	25	ND	1	03/08/07	03/08/07	
Sample ID: IQC0980-03 (MW3016_WG030807_0001 - Water)					Sampled: 03/08/07				
Reporting Units: ug/l									
Chromium VI	EPA 7196A	7C08171	0.65	25	11	1	03/08/07	03/08/07	J
Sample ID: IQC0980-04 (MW3015_WG030807_0001 - Water)					Sampled: 03/08/07				
Reporting Units: ug/l									
Chromium VI	EPA 7196A	7C08171	0.65	25	49	1	03/08/07	03/08/07	
Sample ID: IQC0980-05 (MW3014_WG030807_0001 - Water)					Sampled: 03/08/07				
Reporting Units: ug/l									
Chromium VI	EPA 7196A	7C08171	0.65	25	13	1	03/08/07	03/08/07	J
Sample ID: IQC0980-06 (MW3013_WG030807_0001 - Water)					Sampled: 03/08/07				
Reporting Units: ug/l									
Chromium VI	EPA 7196A	7C08171	0.65	25	3.4	1	03/08/07	03/08/07	J
Sample ID: IQC0980-07 (MW3012_WG030807_0001 - Water)					Sampled: 03/08/07				
Reporting Units: ug/l									
Chromium VI	EPA 7196A	7C08171	0.65	25	1.3	1	03/08/07	03/08/07	J
Sample ID: IQC0980-08 (MW3012_WG030807_0002 - Water)					Sampled: 03/08/07				
Reporting Units: ug/l									
Chromium VI	EPA 7196A	7C08171	0.65	25	0.98	1	03/08/07	03/08/07	J
Sample ID: IQC0980-09 (MW3011_WG030807_0001 - Water)					Sampled: 03/08/07				
Reporting Units: ug/l									
Chromium VI	EPA 7196A	7C08171	0.65	25	4.7	1	03/08/07	03/08/07	J
Sample ID: IQC0980-10 (MW3010_WG030807_0001 - Water)					Sampled: 03/08/07				
Reporting Units: ug/l									
Chromium VI	EPA 7196A	7C08171	3.2	120	140	5	03/08/07	03/08/07	

TestAmerica - Irvine, CA
Nicholas Marz
Project Manager

Handwritten signature

The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.

IQC0980 <Page 2 of 7>

LDC #: 16591A6
 SDG #: IQC0980
 Laboratory: Test America

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Tier 1/2/3

Date: 4/21/09
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Hexavalent Chromium (EPA SW846 Method 7196A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>3/8/09</u>
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	Not reviewed for Tier I validation.
IIb.	Calibration verification	A	Not reviewed for Tier I validation.
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Duplicates	A	<u>MS/MSD IQC0980-0</u>
IVb.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCs</u>
V.	Sample result verification	A	Not reviewed for Tier I or Tier II validation.
VI.	Overall assessment of data	A	
VII.	Field duplicates	<u>SW</u>	<u>(2,3)</u>
VIII.	Field blanks	<u>N</u>	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: * Indicates sample underwent Tier I validation, ** Indicates sample underwent Tier III validation

1	<u>As</u> MW3009_WG030807_0001\	11		21		31	
2	MW3012_WG030807_0001*	12		22		32	
3	MW3012_WG030807_0002**	13		23		33	
4	<u>UB</u>	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 16511A6
 SDG #: See above

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: dy
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: Inorganics (EPA Method 7196A)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical Holding Times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. Calibration				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the proper number of standards used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients > 0.995?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were titrant checks performed as required? (Level IV only)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were balance checks performed as required? (Level IV only)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates and Duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for waters and ≤ 35% for soil samples? A control limit of ≤ CRDL (≤ 2X CRDL for soil) was used for samples that were ≤ 5X the CRDL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were < 5X the CRDL.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% (85-115% for Method 300.0) QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 16591A6
 SDG #: See con

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: my
 2nd Reviewer: R

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
III. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
Were detection limits < RL?	✓			
IV. Overall Assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
X. Field Duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	✓			
X. Field Blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.			✓	

LDC#: 16591A6
SDG#: IQC0980

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: (of)
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Inorganics, Method 7196A

- ~~Y~~ N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 ~~Y~~ N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Analyte	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD	
	2	3		
Cr (VI)	1.3	0.98	28	

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\16591A6.wpd

LDC #: 16511A6
 SDG #: See cover

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: WJ
 2nd Reviewer: AL

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

METHOD: Inorganics, Method 7196A
 The correlation coefficient (r) for the calibration of Cu⁶⁺ was recalculated. Calibration date: 3/8/07

An initial or continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

$\%R = \frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100$ Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
 True = concentration of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Type of Analysis	Analyte	Cu ⁶⁺ (ug/L) (units)	True (units)	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
				r	%R	r	%R	
Initial calibration		0	0					
Calibration verification	Standard 1	0.3	0.007					
	Standard 2	0.025	0.023					
	Standard 3	0.1	0.083					
	Standard 4	0.5	0.414					Y
	Standard 5							
	Standard 6							
	Standard 7							
Calibration verification ICV	0.1	0.101						Y
Calibration verification CCV	0.3	0.310						Y
Calibration verification								

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 16591A6
 SDG #: See above

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MM
 2nd Reviewer: K

METHOD: Inorganics, Method 9196A

Percent recoveries (%R) for a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$\%R = \frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100$ Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
 True = concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$ Where, S = Original sample concentration
 D = Duplicate sample concentration

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S (units)	True / D (units)	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R / RPD	%R / RPD			
<u>LC5</u>	Laboratory control sample	<u>Cu⁶⁺</u>	<u>1.01</u>	<u>1.00</u>	<u>101</u>	<u>101</u>	<u>101</u>	<u>101</u>	<u>Y</u>
<u>IPC6980</u> <u>1.01</u>	Matrix spike sample	<u>↓</u>	<u>305</u> (SSR-SR)	<u>300</u>	<u>102</u>	<u>102</u>	<u>102</u>	<u>102</u>	<u>Y</u>
<u>↓</u>	Duplicate sample		<u>304</u>	<u>305</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>Y</u>

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 16571A4
 SDG #: See on

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MM
 2nd reviewer: N

METHOD: Inorganics, Method 7196A

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
- Y N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments?
- Y N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRQL?

Compound (analyte) results for 3 reported with a positive detect were recalculated and verified using the following equation:

Concentration =

Recalculation:

$$C_{6+} = \frac{Abs - 0.000292}{0.827}$$

$$C_{6+} = \frac{0.501 - 0.000292}{0.827} = 0.00086 \text{ mg/L} = 0.86 \text{ ug/L}$$

#	Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration (ug/L)	Calculated Concentration (ug/L)	Acceptable (Y/N)
1	3	C ₆₊	0.98	0.86	Y

Note: only 3 decimal points or point out for Abs.

**Boeing Realty Corp., Bldg C-1 Long Beach
Data Validation Reports
LDC# 16591**

TPH as Extractables

LDC

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Boeing Realty Corp., Bldg C-1 Long Beach
Collection Date: March 15, 2007
LDC Report Date: April 30, 2007
Matrix: Water
Parameters: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables
Validation Level: Tier 3
Laboratory: TestAmerica

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): IQC1776

Sample Identification

MW3017_WG031507_0001

Introduction

This data review covers one water sample listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 8015 for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of compounds was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) of calibration factors for compounds were less than or equal to 20.0% .

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification was performed at required frequencies. The percent differences (%D) of amounts in continuing standard mixtures were within the 15.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No total petroleum hydrocarbons as extractable contaminants were found in the method blanks.

IV. Accuracy and Precision Data

a. Surrogate Recovery

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

b. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

c. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Target Compound Identification

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria.

VI. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria.

VII. System Performance

The system performance was acceptable.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of this report if data has been summarized.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

X. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

**Boeing Realty Corp., Bldg C-1 Long Beach
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary - SDG
IQC1776**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Boeing Realty Corp., Bldg C-1 Long Beach
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification
Summary - SDG IQC1776**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

TestAmerica

ANALYTICAL TESTING CORPORATION

17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 Fax: (949) 260-3297

TAIT Environmental/Boeing
701 N. Parkcenter Drive
Santa Ana, CA 92705
Attention: Mehmet Pehlivan

Project ID: Boeing C-1 Long Beach
EM-2701
Report Number: IQC1776

Sampled: 03/15/07
Received: 03/15/07

HYDROCARBON DISTRIBUTION (EPA 3510C/8015 Mod.)

Analyte	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor	% of Total	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: IQC1776-04 (MW3017_WG031507_0001 - Water)									
Reporting Units: mg/l									
EFH (C6 - C44)	7C22062	0.094	0.47	4.9	1	100	3/22/2007	3/22/2007	
EFH (C6 - C7)	7C22062	0.094	0.094	ND	1	N/A	3/22/2007	3/22/2007	
EFH (C8 - C9)	7C22062	0.094	0.094	0.15	1	3	3/22/2007	3/22/2007	
EFH (C10 - C11)	7C22062	0.094	0.094	0.79	1	16	3/22/2007	3/22/2007	
EFH (C12 - C13)	7C22062	0.094	0.094	1.1	1	22	3/22/2007	3/22/2007	
EFH (C14 - C15)	7C22062	0.094	0.094	1.4	1	29	3/22/2007	3/22/2007	
EFH (C16 - C17)	7C22062	0.094	0.094	0.93	1	19	3/22/2007	3/22/2007	
EFH (C18 - C19)	7C22062	0.094	0.094	0.29	1	6	3/22/2007	3/22/2007	
EFH (C20 - C23)	7C22062	0.042	0.042	0.084	1	2	3/22/2007	3/22/2007	
EFH (C24 - C27)	7C22062	0.042	0.042	0.045	1	1	3/22/2007	3/22/2007	
EFH (C28 - C31)	7C22062	0.042	0.042	ND	1	N/A	3/22/2007	3/22/2007	
EFH (C32 - C35)	7C22062	0.094	0.094	ND	1	N/A	3/22/2007	3/22/2007	
EFH (C36 - C39)	7C22062	0.042	0.042	ND	1	N/A	3/22/2007	3/22/2007	
EFH (C40 - C44)	7C22062	0.042	0.042	ND	1	N/A	3/22/2007	3/22/2007	
Surrogate: n-Octacosane (40-125%)				97%					

TestAmerica - Irvine, CA
Nicholas Marz
Project Manager

Handwritten signature

The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.

IQC1776 <Page 18 of 37>

LDC #: 16591B8
 SDG #: IQC1776
 Laboratory: Test America

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 EPA Region 1 - Tier 3

Date: 4/25/07
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 3/15/07
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification	A	
III.	Blanks	A	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	chem specified
IVc.	Laboratory control samples	A	les ID
V.	Target compound identification	A	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	A	
VII.	System Performance	A	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *water*

1	MW3017-WG031507_0001	11	TC22062-BLK	21	31
2		12		22	32
3		13		23	33
4		14		24	34
5		15		25	35
6		16		26	36
7		17		27	37
8		18		28	38
9		19		29	39
10		20		30	40

Notes: _____

LDC #: 1659138
 SDG #: per cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: PS
 2nd Reviewer: AL

Method: GC HPLC

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
III. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	/			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	/			
IV. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	/			
Was a linear fit used for evaluation? If yes, were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) < 20%?	/			
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?			/	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?			/	
Were the RT windows properly established?	/			
V. Continuing calibration				
What type of continuing calibration calculation was performed? ___%D or ___%R	/			
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?	/			
Were all percent differences (%D) < 15%.0 or percent recoveries 85-115%?	/			
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?	/			
VI. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	/			
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	/			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.			/	
VII. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within the QC limits?	/			
If the percent recovery (%R) of one or more surrogates was outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			/	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			/	
VIII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.			/	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?			/	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?			/	
IX. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	/			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	/			

LDC #: 16591B8
 SDG #: see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: BS
 2nd Reviewer: A

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
X Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
X Target compound identification				
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
XI Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
XII System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
XIII overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
XIV Field duplicates				
Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Were target compounds detected in the field duplicates?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
XV Field blanks				
Were field blanks identified in this SDG?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 1659188
 SDG #: per cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: R
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC ✓ HPLC

The calibration Factor (CF), average CF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

- CF = A/C
- average CF = sum of the CF/number of standards
- %RSD = $100 * (S/X)$
- A = Area of compound,
- C = Concentration of compound,
- S = Standard deviation of the CF
- X = Mean of the CFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				CF (1SD std)	CF (1SD std)	Average CF (Initial)	Average CF (Initial)	%RSD	%RSD		
1	1CAL	3/3/07	EFH	2873.4	2843.4	2187.17	2187.17	11.14	11.14		
2											
3											
4											

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 1659188

SDG #: per cover

METHOD: GC HPLC

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: $SF/SS \cdot 100$
Where: SF = Surrogate Found
SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: # 1

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery		Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
n-octacosane	not specified	100	97.4363	97	97	0

Sample ID:

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery		Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	

Sample ID:

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery		Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	

The percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

%Recovery = $100 \cdot (SSC - SC) / SA$ Where SSC = Spiked sample concentration SC = Sample concentration
 SA = Spike added
 $RPD = \frac{((SSCLCS - SSCLCD) \cdot 2)}{(SSCLCS + SSCLCD)} \cdot 100$ LCS = Laboratory Control Sample
 LCS/LCSD samples: 1022062-135

Compound	Spike Added (mg/L)		Sample Conc. (mg/L)	Spike Sample Concentration (mg/L)		LCS Percent Recovery		LCSD Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD RPD	
	LCS	LCSD		LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Gasoline (8015)											
Diesel (8015)											
Benzene (8021B)											
Methane (RSK-175)											
2,4-D (8151)											
Dinoseb (8151)											
Naphthalene (8310)											
Anthracene (8310)											
HMX (8330)											
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)											
EFH	1.0	1.0	0	0.931	0.806	93	93	81	81	14	14

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

METHOD: GC HPLC

Y/N N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
Y/N N/A Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10% of the reported results?

Concentration = $\frac{A(Fv)(Df)}{(RF)(Vs \text{ or } Ws)(\%S/100)}$ Example:
 Sample ID: #1 Compound Name: EFH c6-cyy
 Concentration = 14562237.58 (1)
2787-17 (1060)
= 4.9 mg/L

- A= Area or height of the compound to be measured
- Fv= Final Volume of extract
- Df= Dilution Factor
- RF= Average response factor of the compound in the initial calibration
- Vs= Initial volume of the sample
- Ws= Initial weight of the sample
- %S= Percent Solid

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentrations	Recalculated Results Concentrations	Qualifications

Comments: _____